11th May 1954]

(c) what the feeder posts are for the selection of Sub-Magistrates and District Munsifs; and

(d) whether there is any reservation in these feeder posts for the Backward and Harijan Communities?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" (a) A statement [a], showing the selection posts in the Madras High Court Service is laid on the table of the House.

" (b) The answer is in the negative.

" (c) A statement[a] showing the feeder services for the posts of Sub-Magistrates and District Munsifs is laid on the table of the House.

" (d) The answer is in the negative."

Royal Columbia Umbrella Works, Kozhikode.

* 667 *Q.*—SRI K. P. GOPALAN : Will the Hon. the Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that the Labour Officer, Kozhikode, has recommended for adjudication the dispute between the Management of the Royal Columbia Umbrella Works, Kozhikode, and the workers on the question of the dismissal of three workers by the Management; and

(b) if so, why the dispute has not been referred for adjudication?

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—" (a) Yes, Sir.

" (b) The Labour Commissioner did not consider it desirable to interfere in disciplinary matters. The Government agreed with him."

SRI K. P. GOPALAN :—" May I know the basis on which the Government send a case for adjudication and whether this case was not found fit for being sent for adjudication? May I also know from the Hon. Minister for Labour whether it is not a fact that the Lobaur Officer recommended this case to be referred for adjudication? "

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—" Sir, so far as the Labour Department is concerned, the Commissioner of Labour is the final authority and it was the recommendation of the Commissioner that this was not a fit case for adjudication. Government agreed with the recommendation of the Labour Commissioner."

SRI K. P. GOPALAN :—" Are the Government aware of the fact that if the workers are dismissed unreasonably the workers have only one way of getting justice rendered to them, namely, approaching the Industrial Tribunal or Court; why should Government stand in the way of workers getting justice from the Court? Is it the policy of the Government not to send cases for adjudication when workers want it? "

[a] Printed as Appendix I on pages 581-583 infra.

The Hon. Sri M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—" Sir, Government have been referring many cases for adjudication. In this case Government agreed with the Labour Commissioner that this was not a fit case for adjudication."

Sri M. PALANIYANDI :—" இந்த தொழில் தகராறுகள் லேபர் கமிஷனர் சிபார்சின் பேரில்தான் லேபர் டிரிப்யூனலுக்கு அனுப்பப்படுகின்றதா ? இன்னும் இந்த மாகாணத்தில் பல தொழிலாளர்களுடைய தகராறுகள் தொழிற் கோர்ட்டிற்கு அனுப்பப்படாமல் இருப்பதால் தொழிலாளிகளிடையே மனக்கசப்பு இருப்பதால் கனம் தொழில் மந்திரி அவர்கள் இதற்கு ஆவனசெய்வதற்கு உடனடியான நடவடிக்கை எடுப்பாரா ?"

The Hon. Sri M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—" Sir, I have heard complaints that certain recommendations of the Commissioner of Labour which were in favour of the workers have not been accepted by the Government. But I have expressed it elsewhere as the policy of this Government that they would generally be guided by the Labour Commissioner. But it does not mean that the Government would always agree with the Labour Commissioner."

Collection of sales tax on handloom cloth.

* 668 *Q.*—Sri N. K. PALANISAMI : Will the Hon. the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state the amount of sales tax collected during the year 1953–54 on handloom cloth in the State?

The Hon. Sri M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—" The amount of sales tax collected in this State on handloom cloth during 1953–54 up to the end of January 1954 is Rupees 7,62,529–14–10."

Sri N. K. PALANISAMI :—" மத்திய மாகாணத்திலும், ஒரிஸ்ஸா மாகாணத்திலும் கைத்தறித் துணியின்மேல் இருந்த விற்பனைவரியை கைவிட்டு விட்டார்கள் என்று சமீபத்தில் பேசிய Commerce and Industries மந்திரி சொன்னார். இந்த மாகாணத்திலும் கைத்தறி மேலுள்ள விற்பனை வரியை நீக்கிவிடவேண்டுமென்று சிபார்சு செய்திருப்பதாகத் தெரிகிறது. அப்படி இருக்கும்போது இந்த மாகாணத்தில் மாத்திரம் ஏன் இன்னும் வரி வசூல் செய்துகொண்டிருக்கிறார்கள் என்று தெரியவில்லை."

The Hon. Sri M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—" This refers to the past, Sir."

" *Rajaji Hall.*"

* 669 *Q.*—Sri A. RATNAM : Will the Hon. the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state—

(*a*) the amount of charges collected towards letting the " Rajaji Hall ", Government Estate, for social, cultural and other conferences and functions in the year 1952; and

(*b*) which are the institutions that were admitted to hold functions free of charges?